

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

February 21, 2001

Ordinance 14047

Proposed No. 2000-0188.3

Sponsors Sullivan

1	AN ORDINANCE relating to planning; clarifying King
2	County's planning process to be consistent with the King
3	County Comprehensive Plan; amending Ordinance 13147,
4	Section 19, and K.C.C. 20.18.030, Ordinance 13147,
5	Section 20, and K.C.C. 20.18.040, Ordinance 13147,
6	Section 21, and K.C.C. 20.18.050, Ordinance 13147,
7	Section 22, and K.C.C. 20.18.060, Ordinance 13147,
8	Section 23, and K.C.C. 20.18.070, Ordinance13147,
9	Section 28, and K.C.C. 20.18.120, Ordinance 13147,
10	Section 30, and K.C.C. 20.18.140, Ordinance 12196,
11	Section 9, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.20.020, Ordinance
12	4461, Section 10, and K.C.C. 20.24.190, Ordinance 9785,
13	Section 16, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.24.197, adding new
14	sections to K.C.C. chapter 20.18 and repealing Ordinance
15	11620, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.458.
16	
17	

)	Ordinance 14047
18	
19	PREAMBLE:
20	For the purposes of effective land use planning and regulation, the King
21	County council makes the following legislative findings:
22	1. King County has adopted the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan
23	to meet the requirements of the Washington state Growth Management
24	Act (GMA).
25	2. The GMA requires that the Comprehensive Plan and development
26	regulations be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county.
27	3. King County has performed its first comprehensive four-year cycle
28	review of the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations. As a
29	result of the review, King County is amending the 1994 Comprehensive
30	through passage of the 2000 King County Comprehensive Plan.
31	4. The changes to K.C.C. Title 20 contained in this ordinance bring
32	K.C.C. Title 20 into conformance with the 2000 King County
33	Comprehensive Plan as required by the GMA. As such they bear a
34	substantial relationship to, and are necessary for, the public health, safety
35	and general welfare of King County and its residents.
36	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
37	SECTION 1. Ordinance 13147, Section 19, and K.C.C. 20.18.030 are each
38	hereby amended to read as follows:

General procedures. A. The King County Comprehensive Plan shall be amended ((no more than once a year, except that it may be amended)) pursuant to this

1	chapter, which, in compliance with RCW 36.70A.130(2), establishes a public
2	participation program whereby amendments are considered by the council no more
-3	frequently than once a year as part of the amendment cycle established in this chapter,
4	except that the council may consider amendments more frequently to address:
15	1. Emergencies;
16	2. An appeal of the plan filed with the Central Puget Sound Growth
1 7	Management Hearings Board or with the court;
18	3. The initial adoption of a subarea plan, which may amend the urban growth
19	area boundary only to redesignate land within a joint planning area;
50	4. The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program ((pursuant to))
51	under chapter 90.58 RCW; or
52	5. An amendment of the capital facilities element of the ((e))Comprehensive
53	((p))Plan that occurs in conjunction with the adoption of the county budget.
54	B. Every year the $((e))\underline{C}$ omprehensive $((p))\underline{P}$ lan may be amended to address
55	technical updates and corrections, and to consider ((ehanges which)) amendments that do
56	not require substantive changes to policy language, changes to the priority areas map, or
57	changes to the urban growth area boundary, except as permitted in subsection B.5, 10 and
58	12 of this section. This review may be referred to as the annual cycle. The
59	((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan, including subarea plans, may be amended in the annual
60	cycle only to consider the following:
61	1. Technical amendments to policy, text, or maps;
62	2. The annual capital improvement plan;
63	3. The transportation needs report;

64	4. School capital facility plans;
65	5. Changes to the ((designations shown on the service and finance strategy map
66	and any amendments required thereby)) priority areas map that are required by
67	annexations and incorporations;
68	6. Changes required by existing (((as of December 31, 1997)))
69	((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan policies;
70	7. Changes to the technical appendices and any amendments required thereby;
71	8. Comprehensive updates of subarea plans initiated by motion;
72	9. Changes required by amendments to the countywide planning policies or
73	state law;
74	10. Redesignation proposals under the ((4)) four to ((1)) one program ((pursuant
75	to K.C.C. 20.12.458)) as provided for in this chapter; ((and))
76	11. Amendments necessary for the conservation of threatened and endangered
77	species; and
78	12. ((The following s)) Site-specific comprehensive land use map
79	amendments((÷
80	a. amendments to correct a technical error; and
81	b. land use amendments which)) that do not require substantive change to
82	comprehensive plan policy language ((nor)) and that do not alter the urban growth area
83	boundary, except to correct mapping errors.
84	C. Every fourth year beginning in 2000, the county shall complete a
85	comprehensive review ((to)) of the Comprehensive Plan in order to update it as
86	appropriate and to ensure continued compliance with the GMA. This review may

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

provide for a cumulative analysis of the twenty-year plan based upon official population growth forecasts, benchmarks and other relevant data in order to consider substantive changes to policy language and changes to the urban growth area (UGA). This comprehensive review ((will)) shall begin one year in advance of the transmittal and may be referred to as the four-year cycle. The urban growth area boundaries shall be reviewed in the context of the four-year cycle and in accordance with countywide planning policy FW-1 and RCW 36.70A.130. If the county determines that the purposes of the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan are not being achieved as evidenced by official population growth forecasts, benchmarks, trends and other relevant data, substantive changes to the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan may also be considered on even calendar years. This determination shall be authorized by motion. The motion shall specify the scope of the even-year amendment, and identify that the resources necessary to accomplish the work are available. An analysis of the motion's fiscal impact shall be provided to the council ((prior to)) before adoption. The executive ((will)) shall determine if additional funds are necessary to complete the even-year amendment, and may transmit an ordinance requesting the appropriation of supplemental funds.

D. The executive ((will)) shall seek public comment on the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan and any proposed ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan amendments in accordance with the procedures in K.C.C. 20.18.160 before making a recommendation, in addition to conducting the public review and comment procedures required by ((the state Environmental Policy-Act)) ((())SEPA(())). The public, including unincorporated area councils, shall be afforded at least one official opportunity to record public comment ((prior to)) before the transmittal of a recommendation by the executive to the council.

110	County-sponsored councils and commissions may submit written position statements
111	((which will)) that shall be considered by the executive ((prior to)) before transmittal and
112	by the council ((prior to)) before adoption, ((provided)) if they are received in a timely
113	manner. The executive's recommendations for changes to policies, text, and maps shall
114	include the elements listed in ((e)) Comprehensive ((p)) Plan policy ((I-202)) RP-307 and
115	analysis of their financial costs and public benefits, any of which may be included in
116	environmental review documents. Proposed amendments to the ((e))Comprehensive
117	((p))Plan shall be accompanied by any development regulations or amendments
118	((thereto)) to development regulations, including area zoning, necessary to implement the
119	proposed amendments.
120	SECTION 2. Ordinance 13147, Section 20, and K.C.C. 20.18.040 are each
121	hereby amended to read as follows:
122	Site-specific land use map amendment ((initiation and)) classification. A.
123	Site-specific land use map amendments may be considered annually or during the four
124	year review cycle, depending on the degree of change proposed.
125	B. The following categories of site-specific land use map amendments may be
126	initiated by either the county or a property owner for consideration in the annual review
127	cycle:
128	1. Amendments ((to correct a technical error; and
129	2. Amendments which)) that do not require substantive change to
130	comprehensive plan policy language ((nor)) and that do not alter the urban growth area
131	boundary, except to correct mapping errors; and
132	2. Four-to-one-proposals.

133	C. The following categories of site-specific land use map amendments may be
134	initiated by either the county or a property owner for consideration in the four-year
135	review cycle:
136	1. amendments that could be considered in the annual review cycle;
137	2. ((Site-specific land use map)) amendments ((which)) that require substantive
138	change to $((e))\underline{C}$ omprehensive $((p))\underline{P}$ lan policy language $((eF))$; and
139	3. amendments to the urban growth area boundary ((may only be initiated by the
140	county and considered in the four year cycle, except for 4 to 1 proposals which may be
141	considered annually pursuant to the application process set out in K.C.C. 20.12.458)).
142	((Property owners may complete an application and docket the recommended
143	changes to policy and/or the urban boundary pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.140. The
144	application will be included in the docket and considered by the county in the four-year
145	cycle and pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.060.))
146	((D. No amendment to a land use designation for a property may be initiated
147	unless at least three years have elapsed since council adoption or review of the current
148	designation for the property. This time limit may be waived by the executive or the
149	council if the proponent establishes that there exists either an obvious technical error or a
150	change in circumstances justifying the need for the amendment.))
151	((1. A waiver by the executive shall be considered after the applicant has
152	submitted information to the department in the requested format. The executive shall
153	render a waiver decision within forty-five days of receiving a complete submittal and
154	shall mail a copy of this decision to the applicant.))
155	((2. A waiver by the council shall be considered by motion.))

SECTION 3. Ordinance 13147, Section 21, and K.C.C. 20.18.050 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Site-specific land use map amendments <u>initiation</u>. A. Site-specific land use map amendments are legislative actions ((which)) that may only be initiated ((at any time)) by property owner application, ((or)) by <u>council</u> motion, or by <u>executive proposal</u>.

All site-specific land use map amendments must be evaluated by the hearing examiner prior to adoption by the council pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

- 1. If initiated by <u>council</u> motion, the motion shall <u>refer the proposed site-specific land use amendment to the department of development and environmental services for preparation of a recommendation to the hearing examiner. The motion shall <u>also</u> identify the resources and the work program required to provide the same level of review accorded to applicant-generated amendments. An analysis of the motion's fiscal impact shall be provided to the council prior to adoption. If the executive determines that additional funds are necessary to complete the work program, the executive may transmit an ordinance requesting the appropriation of supplemental funds.</u>
- 2. <u>If initiated by executive proposal, the proposal shall refer the proposed site-specific land use amendment to the department of development and environmental services for preparation of a recommendation to the hearing examiner.</u>
- 3. If initiated by property owner application, the property owner shall submit a docketed request for a site-specific land use amendment. Upon receipt of a docketed request for a site-specific land use amendment, the request shall be referred to the department of development and environmental services for preparation of a recommendation to the hearing examiner.

179	((Site-specific land use map amendments for which a completed recommendation
180	by the hearing examiner has been submitted to the council by January 15 will be
181	considered concurrently with the annual amendment to the comprehensive plan.
182	Applications for the annual review for which a recommendation has not been issued by
183	January 15 will be included in the next appropriate review cycle following issuance of the
184	examiner's recommendation.))
185	((3. Applications which require a substantive change to policy text or to the
186	urban growth area boundary may be docketed by the applicant for consideration during
187	the four-year cycle pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.030.))
188	((B. Site-specific land use map amendments shall be reviewed based upon the
189	requirements of comprehensive plan policy I-202 and the following additional standards:
190	1. The proposed change implements and supports the goals of the comprehensive
191	plan; and
192	2. The amendment is not incompatible with adjacent and nearby existing and
193	permitted land use and the surrounding development pattern.))
194	((C. Applications for)) B. All proposed site-specific land use map amendments,
195	whether initiated by property owner application, by council motion, or by executive
196	proposal ((shall be submitted to the department and)) shall include the following:
197	1. Name and address of ((Application form signed by)) the owner(s) of record;
198	2. Description of the proposed amendment;
199	3. Property description, including parcel number, property street address and
200	nearest cross street;
201	4. County assessor's map outlining the subject property; and

202	5. Related or previous permit activity((;
203	6. Applicant information, including signature, telephone number and address;
204	7. Applicant's interest in property (owner, buyer, consultant); and
205	8. Property owner concurrence, including signature, telephone number and
206	address.))
207	((D A preapplication conference)) C. Upon initiation of a site specific land use
208	map amendment, an initial review conference will be scheduled by the department of
209	development and environmental services ((with the applicant upon receipt of a completed
210	application form)). The owner(s) of record of the property shall be notified of and invited
211	to attend the initial review conference. At the ((preapplication)) initial review
212	conference, the department will review ((with the applicant)) the proposed amendment's
213	consistency with applicable county policies or regulatory enactments including specific
214	reference to comprehensive plan policies, countywide planning policies and state Growth
215	Management Act requirements. The ((application)) proposed amendment will be
216	classified pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.040 and this information either will be provided at the
217	((preapplication)) initial review conference or in writing to the ((applicant)) owner(s) of
218	record within thirty days. ((Applications requiring either a substantive change to policy
219	language and/or a change to the urban growth area boundary are only appropriate for
220	review in the four-year cycle, but may be docketed by the applicant pursuant to K.C.C.
221	20.18.140. Docketed amendments will be considered with the four-year cycle and
222	pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.060. The council may override the amendment classification
223	determined by the department by motion.))
224	E. If a proposed site-specific land use man amendment is initiated by property

225	owner application ((After the preapplication conference)), the property owner shall,
226	following the initial review conference, ((applicants shall)) submit the completed
227	application including an application fee and an environmental checklist to the department
228	of development and environmental services to proceed with <u>review of ((an))</u> the proposed
229	amendment. ((Following the submittal of the complete application, the department of
230	development and environmental services shall submit a report including an executive
231	recommendation on the proposed amendment to the hearing examiner within one
232	hundred twenty days. The department of development and environmental services shall
233	provide notice of a public hearing and notice of threshold determination pursuant to
234	K.C.C. 20.20.060 F, G and H. The hearing will be conducted by the hearing examiner
235	pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.400. Following the public hearing, the hearing examiner shall
236	prepare a report and recommendation on the proposed amendment pursuant to K.C.C.
237	20.24.400. A compilation of all completed reports will be considered by the council
238	pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.070.))
239	F. If a proposed site-specific land use map amendment is initiated by council
240	motion, following the initial review conference, the council shall submit an
241	environmental checklist to the department of development and environmental services to
242	proceed with review of the proposed amendment.
243	G. If a proposed site-specific land use map amendment is initiated by executive
244	proposal, following the initial review conference, the office of regional policy and
245	planning shall submit an environmental checklist to the department of development and
246	environmental services to proceed with review of the proposed amendment.

H. Following the submittal of the information required by subsections E, F or G,

the department of development and environmental services shall submit a report including an executive recommendation on the proposed amendment to the hearing examiner within one hundred twenty days. The department of development and environmental services shall provide notice of a public hearing and notice of threshold determination pursuant to K.C.C. 20.20.060 F, G and H. The hearing will be conducted by the hearing examiner pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.400. Following the public hearing, the hearing examiner shall prepare a report and recommendation on the proposed amendment pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.400. A compilation of all completed reports will be considered by the council pursuant to K.C.C. 20.18.070.

map amendment may be accompanied by an application for a zone reclassification to implement the proposed amendment, in which case administrative review of the two applications shall be consolidated to the extent practical consistent with this ordinance and K.C.C. chapter 20.20. The council's consideration of a site-specific land use map amendment is a legislative decision which will be determined prior to and separate from their consideration of a zone reclassification which is a quasi-judicial decision. If a zone reclassification is not proposed in conjunction with an application for a site-specific land use map amendment and the amendment is adopted, the property shall be given potential zoning. A zone reclassification pursuant to K.C.C. 20.20.020 will be required in order to implement the potential zoning.

J. Site-specific land use map amendments for which a completed recommendation by the hearing examiner has been submitted to the council by January

15 will be considered concurrently with the annual amendment to the comprehensive

271	plan. Site specific land use map amendments for which a recommendation has not been
272	issued by the hearing examiner by January 15 will be included in the next appropriate
273	review cycle following issuance of the examiner's recommendation.
274	K. No amendment to a land use designation for a property may be initiated unless
275	at least three years have elapsed since council adoption or review of the current
276	designation for the property. This time limit may be waived by the executive or the
277	council if the proponent establishes that there exists either an obvious technical error or a
278	change in circumstances justifying the need for the amendment.
279	1. A waiver by the executive shall be considered after the proponent has
280	submitted a docket request in accordance with K.C.C. 20.18.140. The executive shall
281	render a waiver decision within forty-five days of receiving a docket request and shall
282	mail a copy of this decision to the proponent.
283	2. A waiver by the council shall be considered by motion.
284	NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 20.18 a
285	new section to read as follows:
286	Site-specific land use map amendment review standards and transmittal
287	procedures. A. All site specific land use map amendments, whether initiated by
288	property owner application, by council motion, or by executive proposal, shall be
289	reviewed based upon the requirements of Comprehensive Plan policy RP-307, and must
290	meet the following additional review standards:
291	1. Consistency with the policies, objectives and goals of the Comprehensive Plan,
292	(including any applicable subarea plans), the countywide planning policies and the state
293	Growth Management Act;

294	2. Compatibility with adjacent and nearby existing and permitted land uses; and
295	3. Compatibility with the surrounding development pattern.
296	B. Site-specific land use map amendments for which recommendations have been
297	issued by the hearing examiner by January 15 shall be submitted to the executive and the
298	council by the hearing examiner by January 15. The department will provide for a
299	cumulative analysis of these recommendations and such analysis will be included in the
300	annual March transmittal. All such amendments will be considered concurrently by the
301	council committee charged with the review of the comprehensive plan. Following this
302	review, site-specific land use map amendments which are recommended by this
303	committee will be incorporated as an attachment to the adopting ordinance transmitted by
304	the executive for consideration by the full council. Final action by the council on these
305	amendments will occur concurrently with the annual amendment to the comprehensive
306	plan.
307	SECTION 5. Ordinance 13147, Section 22, and K.C.C. 20.18.060 are each
308	hereby amended to read as follows:
309	Four-year cycle process. A. Beginning in 1999, and every fourth year
310	thereafter((÷))
311	((1. The department will accept proposed amendments to the comprehensive
312	plan no later than the first business day of January for consideration in the following
313	year;))
314	((2. The department shall complete a review of all proposed amendments which
315	incorporates analysis of official population growth forecasts and benchmarks;))
316	((3. No later than the first business day of March)), the executive shall transmit

to the council by the first business day of March a proposed motion specifying the scope
of work for proposed amendments to the $((e))\underline{C}$ omprehensive $((p))\underline{P}$ lan that will occur in
the following year, which motion shall ((to)) include the following:
((a))1. topical areas relating to amendments to policies, the land use map

and/or implementing development regulations ((which)) that the executive intends to consider for recommendation to the council; and

((b. an inventory and executive recommendation for all docketed items relating to the four year review; and))

((e))2. an attachment to the motion advising the council of the work program the executive intends to follow to accomplish SEPA review and public participation.

B. The council shall have until April 30 to approve the motion. In the absence of council approval, the executive shall proceed to implement the work program as proposed. If the motion is approved, the work program shall proceed as established by the approved motion.

C. Beginning in 2000 and every fourth year thereafter, the executive shall transmit to the council by the first business day of March a proposed ordinance amending the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan, except that the capital improvement program and the ordinances adopting updates to the transportation needs report and the school capital facility plans shall be transmitted no later than the annual budget transmittal and shall be adopted in conjunction with the budget. All transmittals shall be accompanied by a public participation note, identifying the methods used by the executive to ensure early and continuous public participation in the preparation of amendments. The note shall specify how the unincorporated area councils were involved in the comment process.

340	SECTION 6. Ordinance 13147, Section 23, and K.C.C. 20.18.070 are each
341	hereby amended to read as follows:
342	Annual cycle process. A. ((The deadline for submitting docketed comments is
343	September 30 for consideration in the amendment cycle process for the following year.
344	The department shall forward to the council a complete listing of all docketed
345	amendments and comments with an initial executive response by the first business day of
346	December each year.))
347	((B.)) The executive shall transmit to the council any proposed amendments for
348	the annual cycle by the first business day of March, except that the capital improvement
349	program and the ordinances adopting updates to the transportation needs report and the
350	school capital facility plans shall be transmitted no later than the annual budget
351	transmittal and shall be adopted in conjunction with the budget.
352	B. All transmittals shall be accompanied by a public participation note,
353	identifying the methods used by the executive to assure early and continuous public
354	participation in the preparation of amendments. The note shall specify how the
355	unincorporated area councils were involved in the comment process.
356	C. Proposed amendments, including site-specific land use map amendments, that
357	are found to require preparation of an environmental impact statement shall be considered
358	for inclusion in the next amendment cycle following completion of the appropriate
359	environmental documents.
360	((D. Site-specific land use map amendments for which recommendations have
361	been issued by the hearing examiner by January 15 shall be submitted to the council by

the hearing examiner by January 15. The department will provide for a cumulative

analysis of these recommendations and the determination will be included in the annual March transmittal. All such amendments will be considered concurrently by the council committee charged with the review of the comprehensive plan. Following this review, site specific land use map amendments which are recommended by this committee will be incorporated as an attachment to the adopting ordinance transmitted by the executive for consideration by the full council. Final action by the council on these amendments will occur concurrently with the annual amendment to the comprehensive plan.))

((E.—All amendments proposed in conjunction with the four year cycle and those determined pursuant to K. C. C. 20.18.030 for inclusion in an even-year review shall be coordinated with the amendments proposed for the annual cycle to ensure transmittal to the council of all proposed amendments by the first business day of March, except that the capital improvement program and the ordinances adopting updates to the transportation needs report and the school capital facility plans shall be transmitted no later than the annual budget transmittal and shall be adopted in conjunction with the budget.))

SECTION 7. Ordinance 13147, Section 28, and K.C.C. 20.18.120 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Notice of public hearing for area zoning. A. Notice of the time, place and purpose of a public hearing before the council to consider changes to area zoning shall, at a minimum, include publication in the official county newspaper and another newspaper of general circulation in the area for which the area zoning is proposed at least thirty days before the hearing. The county shall endeavor to provide such notice in nontechnical language. The notice shall indicate how the detailed description of the ordinance

required by K.C.C. 20.18.100 can be obtained by a member of the public.

B. Notice of the hearing shall also be given by mail to affected property owners, appropriate to the scope of the proposal, whose names appear on the rolls of the King County assessor and shall at a minimum include owners of properties within five hundred feet of affected property, at least twenty property owners in the vicinity of the property, and to any individuals or organizations that have formally requested to the department or department of development environmental services to be kept informed of applications in an identified area. Notice shall specifically be given to any unincorporated area council that includes the subject property in its territory. The county shall endeavor to provide such notice in nontechnical language. The mailed notice required herein shall be postmarked at least thirty days before the hearing. If the county sends the mailed notice by bulk mail, the certificate of mailing shall qualify as a postmark. Failure to notify any specific property owner shall not invalidate an area zoning proceeding or any resulting reclassification of land.

SECTION 8. Ordinance 13147, Section 30, and K.C.C. 20.18.140 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Provision for receipt, review of and response to the docket. A. ((Pursuant to))

In accordance with RCW 36.70A.470, a docket containing written comments on suggested plan or development regulation amendments shall be coordinated by the department. The docket is the means either to suggest a change ((and/)) or to identify a deficiency, or both, in the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan or development regulation.

((A)) For the purposes of this section, "deficiency" refers to the absence of required or potentially desirable contents of the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan or development

regulation and does not refer to whether a development regulation addressed a project's probable specific adverse environmental impacts ((which)) that could be mitigated in the project review process. Any interested party, including applicants, citizens and government agencies, may submit items to the docket.

- B. All agencies of county government having responsibility for elements of the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan or implementing development regulations shall provide a means by which citizens may docket written comments on the plan or on development regulations. The department shall use public participation methods identified in K.C.C. 20.18.160 to solicit public use of the docket ((prior to the annual September 30 submittal deadline)). The department shall provide a mechanism for docketing amendments through the ((i))Internet.
- 1. All docketed comments relating to the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan ((will))

 shall be reviewed by the department and considered for an amendment to the

 ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan.
- 2. The deadline for submitting docketed comments is September 30 for consideration in the amendment cycle process for the following year.
- 3. By the first business day of December, the department shall issue an executive response to all docketed comments. Responses shall include a classification of the recommended changes as appropriate for either the annual or four-year cycle, and an executive recommendation indicating whether or not the docketed item(s) are to be included in the next year's executive recommended comprehensive plan update. If the docketed changes will not be included in the next executive transmittal, the department shall indicate the reason(s) why, and shall inform the proponent that they may petition the

council during the legislative review process.

- 4. By the first business day of December, the department shall forward to the council a report including all docketed amendments and comments with an executive response. The report shall include a statement indicating that the department has complied with the notification requirements contained in this section.
- 5. Upon receipt of the docket report, the council shall mail written notice to all proponents of docketed requests containing the council review process for the current year, and informing proponents that they may petition the council to consider docketed changes that were not recommended by the executive. This notice shall include the schedule of dates for public hearings, committee meetings, and any other opportunities for public testimony on the current year's Comprehensive Plan update.
- 6. Docketed comments relating to development regulations ((will)) shall be reviewed by the appropriate county agency. Those requiring a ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan amendment ((will)) shall be forwarded to the department and considered for an amendment to the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan. Those not requiring a ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan amendment ((will)) shall be considered by the responsible county agency for amendments to the development regulations.
- 7. ((3. Each agency shall submit all docketed comments relating to the comprehensive plan in the requested format to the department by September 30 for amendment consideration. The department shall forward to the council a complete listing of all docketed items with an initial executive response by the first business day of December each year)). The((is listing)) docket report shall be made available through the ((i))Internet. The department shall endeavor to make the docket report available within

one week of transmittal to the council. ((Internet posting of the listing is supplemental to other required notice, and the county's failure in any particular case to provide notice via the internet shall not constitute a procedural violation. The department shall include in the annual transmittal of the comprehensive plan amendment a final listing of all the docketed items relating to the comprehensive plan and development regulations with a recommendation on each item. This listing shall be made publicly available, including posting on the internet. Internet posting of the information is supplemental to other required notice, and the county's failure in any particular case to provide notice via the internet shall not constitute a procedural violation.))

C. ((The docketing process is the official procedure for the public to initiate review and receive official response on an identified deficiency of, or a suggested improvement to, the comprehensive plan or development regulations.)) In addition to the docket, the department shall provide opportunities for general public comments both ((prior to)) before the docketing deadline each year, and during the executive's review periods ((prior to)) before transmittal to the council. ((Such methods)) The opportunities may include, but are not limited to, the use of the following: comment cards, electronic or posted mail, ((i))Internet, public meetings with opportunities for discussion and feedback, printed summaries of comments received and ((24)) twenty-four-hour telephone hotlines. The executive shall assure that the opportunities for public comment are provided as early as possible for each stage of the process, ((in order)) to assure timely opportunity for public input.

<u>NEW SECTION. SECTION 9.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 20.18 a new section to read as follows:

478	The four to one program - process for amending the urban growth area to
479	achieve open space. A. Proposals for open space dedication and redesignation to the
480	urban growth area must be received before December 31, 2006.
481	B. The total area added to the urban growth area as a result of this program shall
482	not exceed four thousand acres. The department shall keep a cumulative total for all
483	parcels added under this section. The total shall be updated annually through the plan
484	amendment process.
485	C. Proposals shall be processed as land use amendments to the Comprehensive
486	Plan and may be considered in either the annual or four-year cycle. Site suitability and
487	development conditions for both the urban and rural portions of the proposal shall be
488	established through the preliminary formal plat approval process.
489	D. A term conservation easement shall be placed on the open space at the time
490	the four to one proposal is approved by the council. Upon final plat approval, the open
491	space shall be permanently dedicated in fee simple to King County.
492	E. Proposals adjacent to incorporated area or potential annexation areas shall be
493	referred to the affected city and special purpose districts for recommendations.
494	NEW SECTION. SECTION 10. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 20.18
495	a new section to read as follows:
496	The four to one program - criteria for amending the urban growth area to
497	achieve open space. Rural area land may be added to the urban growth area in
498	accordance with the following criteria:
499	A. A proposal to add land to the urban growth area under this program shall meet
500	the following criteria:

501	1. A permanent dedication to the King County open space system of four acres
502	of open space is required for every one acre of land added to the urban growth area;
503	2. The land shall not be zoned agriculture (A);
504	3. The land added to the urban growth area shall be physically contiguous to
505	urban growth area as adopted in 1994 and not in an area where a contiguous band of
506	public open space, parks or watersheds already exists along the urban growth area
507	boundary;
508	4. The land added to the urban growth area shall be able to be served by sewers
509	and other urban services;
510	5. A road serving the land added to the urban area shall not be counted as part of
511	the required open space;
512	6. All urban facilities shall be located in the urban area except as permitted in
513	subsection E of this section;
514	7. Open space areas shall retain a rural designation;
515	8. The minimum depth of the open space buffer shall be one half of the property
516	width, shall generally parallel the urban growth area boundary and shall be configured in
517	such a way as to connect with open space on adjacent properties;
518	9. The minimum size of the property to be considered is twenty acres. Smaller
519	parcels may be combined to meet the twenty-acre minimum; and
520	10. Urban development under this section shall be limited to residential
521	development and shall be at a minimum density of four dwelling units per acre;
522	B. A proposal that adds two hundred acres or more to the urban growth area shall
523	also meet the following criteria:

524	1. The proposal shall include a mix of housing types including thirty percent
525	below-market-rate units affordable to low, moderate and median income households;
526	2. In a proposal in which the thirty-percent requirement in subsection B.1 of this
527	section is exceeded, the required open space dedication shall be reduced to three and one-
528	half acres of open space for every one acre added to the urban growth area;
529	C. A proposal that adds less than two hundred acres to the urban growth area and
530	that meets the affordable housing criteria in subsection B.1 of this section shall be subject
531	to a reduced open space dedication requirement of three and one-half acres of open space
532	for every one acre added to the urban growth area;
533	D. Requests for redesignation shall be evaluated to determine those that are the
534	highest quality, including, but not limited to, consideration of the following:
535	1. Preservation of fish and wildlife habitat, including wildlife habitat networks,
536	and habitat for endangered and threatened species;
537	2. Provision of regional open space connections;
538	3. Protection of wetlands, stream corridors, ground water and water bodies;
539	4. Preservation of unique natural, biological, cultural, historical or archeological
540	resources;
541	5. The size of open space dedication and connection to other open space
542	dedications along the urban growth area boundary; and
543	6. The ability to provide extensions of urban services to the redesignated urban
544	areas; and
545	E. The open space acquired through this program shall be preserved primarily as
546	natural areas, passive recreation sites or resource lands for farming and forestry. The

following additional uses may be allowed only if located on a small portion of the open space and provided that these uses are found to be compatible with the site's natural open space values and functions:

- 1. Trails:
- 2. Natural appearing stormwater facilities;
- 3. Compensatory mitigation of wetland losses on the urban designated portion of the project, consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan and the sensitive areas ordinance; and
- 4. Active recreation uses not to exceed five percent of the total open space area. The support services and facilities for the active recreation uses may locate within the active recreation area only, and shall not exceed five percent of the total acreage of the active recreation area. The entire open space area, including any active recreation site, is a regional resource. It shall not be used to satisfy the on-site active recreation space requirements in K.C.C. Title 21A.14.180 for the urban portion of the four to one property.

SECTION 11. Ordinance 12196, Section 9, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.20.020 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Classifications of land use decision processes. A. Land use permit decisions are classified into four types, based on the amount of discretion associated with each decision. Procedures for the four different types are distinguished according to who makes the decision, whether public notice is required, whether a public hearing is required before a decision is made and whether administrative appeals are provided. The types of land use decisions are listed in Exhibit A of this section.

1. Type 1 decisions are made by the director, or his or her designee, ("director")
of the department of development and environmental services ("department"). Type 1
decisions are nonappealable administrative decisions ((which)) that require the exercise
of little or no administrative discretion, except for Type 1 decisions for which the
department has issued a ((state Environmental Policy-Act)) ((("))SEPA(("))) threshold
determination. Type 1 decisions for which the department has issued a SEPA threshold
determination are appealable at the time of issuance of the SEPA threshold determination
to the hearing examiner as a Type 2 decision, ((provided that)), but the appeal is limited
to the SEPA threshold determination and issues relating to zoning code (K.C.C. Title
21A) compliance excluding compliance with permitted use provisions. However, the
decision on the Type 1 permit, exclusive of SEPA threshold determinations issued by the
department and issues relating to zoning code (K.C.C. Title 21A) compliance excluding
compliance with permitted use provisions, is not appealable to the hearing examiner;
rather, it is appealable to superior court. For the purposes of appealing a Type 1 decision
to superior court, the Type 1 decision shall not be considered final until any permitted
appeal to the hearing examiner is decided. Public notice is not required for Type 1
decisions, except for Type 1 decisions for which the department has issued a SEPA
threshold determination, which are treated like Type 2 decisions for the purposes of
public notice.

- 2. Type 2 decisions are made by the director((, or his or her designee)). Type 2 decisions are discretionary decisions ((which)) that are subject to administrative appeal ((in accordance with applicable provisions of law or ordinance)).
 - 3. Type 3 decisions are quasi-judicial decisions made by the hearing examiner

603

604

605

606

607

608

593 following an open record hearing. Type 3 decisions may be appealed to the county 594 council, based on the record established by the hearing examiner. 595 4. Type 4 decisions are quasi-judicial decisions made by the council based on 596 the record established by the hearing examiner. 597 B. Except as provided in K.C.C. 20.44.120A.6 and 25.32.080 or unless otherwise 598 agreed to by the applicant, all Type 2, 3 and 4 decisions included in consolidated permit 599 applications that would require more than one type of land use decision process may be 600 processed and decided together, including any administrative appeals, using the highest-601 numbered land use decision type applicable to the project application.

- C. Certain development proposals are subject to additional procedural requirements beyond the standard procedures established in this chapter.
- D. Land use permits that are categorically exempt from review under ((the state Environmental Policy Act ("))SEPA((") will)) do not require a threshold determination (determination of nonsignificance ("DNS") or determination of significance ("DS")). For all other projects, the SEPA review procedures ((eodified)) in K.C.C. chapter 20.44 are supplemental to the procedures ((set forth)) in this chapter.

610

Exhibit A

LAND USE DECISION TYPES

TYPE 1	(Decision by director, no	Building; clearing and grading; boundary line adjustment; right of way;
	administrative appeal)	road variance except those rendered in conjunction with a short plat
		decision**; variance from ((the requirements of)) K.C.C. chapter 9.04;
		shoreline exemption; approval of a conversion harvest plan; a binding
		site plan for a condominium that is based on a recorded final planned
		unit development, a building permit, an as-built site plan for developed
		sites or a site development permit for the entire site.
TYPE 2	(Decision by director	Short plat; short plat revision; short plat alteration; road variance
	appealable to hearing	decisions rendered in conjunction with a short plat decision; zoning
	examiner, no further	variance; conditional use permit; temporary use; shoreline substantial
	administrative appeal)	development permit; Type 1 decision for which the department has
		issued a SEPA threshold determination****; procedural and substantive
		SEPA decision; site development permit; approval of residential density
		incentives or transfer of development credits; reuse of public schools;
		reasonable use exceptions under K.C.C. 21A.24.070B; preliminary
		determinations under K.C.C.20.20.030B; sensitive areas exceptions and
	·	decisions to require studies or to approve, condition or deny a
		development proposal based on ((the requirements of)) K.C.C. chapter
		21A.24((5)); extractive operations ((pursuant to)) under K.C.C.
		21A.22.050; binding site plan; waivers from the moratorium provisions
		of K.C.C. 16.82.140 based upon a finding of special circumstances.
TYPE 3	(Recommendation by	Preliminary plat, plat alterations; preliminary plat revisions.
	director, hearing and	
	decision by hearing	
	examiner, appealable to	
u -	<u> </u>	

	county council on the	
	record)	
TYPE	(Recommendation by	Zone reclassifications; shoreline environment redesignation; urban
4***	director, hearing and	planned development; special use; amendment or deletion of P suffix
	recommendation by	conditions; plat vacations; short plat vacations; deletion of special
	hearing examiner	district overlay.
	decision by county	
	council on the record)	

- When applications for shoreline permits are combined with other permits requiring Type 3 or 4 land use decisions ((pursuant to)) under K.C.C. 25.32.080, the examiner ((()), not the director(())), makes the decision. All shoreline permits, including shoreline variances and conditional uses, are appealable to the state Shorelines Hearings Board and not to the hearing examiner.
- The road variance process is administered by the county road engineer of the King County department of transportation ((pursuant to)) under the King County road standards.
- Approvals that are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan may be considered by the council at any time. Zone reclassifications ((which)) that are not consistent with the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan require a site-specific land use map amendment and the council's hearing and consideration ((will)) shall be scheduled with the amendment to the ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan ((pursuant to)) under K.C.C. 20.18.040 and 20.18.060.
- **** Only the SEPA threshold determination and issues relating to zoning code compliance, excluding compliance with permitted use provisions, may be appealed, upon issuance of the threshold determination; other issues, including those relating to building code compliance, are not appealable.
- SECTION 12. Ordinance 4461, Section 10, and K.C.C. 20.24.190 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Additional examiner findings - reclassifications and shoreline redesignations.

648

649

650

651

652

subarea plan or area zoning;

630 When the examiner issues a recommendation regarding an application for a 631 reclassification of property or for a shoreline environment redesignation, the 632 recommendation shall include additional findings ((which)) that support the conclusion 633 that at least one of the following circumstances applies: A. The property is potentially zoned for the reclassification being requested and 634 635 conditions have been met ((which)) that indicate the reclassification is appropriate; ((of)) 636 B. An adopted ((community)) subarea plan or area zoning specifies that the 637 property shall be subsequently considered through an individual reclassification 638 application; ((or)) 639 C. Where a ((community)) subarea plan has been adopted but subsequent area 640 zoning has not been adopted, that the proposed reclassification or shoreline redesignation 641 is consistent with the adopted ((community)) subarea plan; or D. The applicant has demonstrated with substantial evidence that: 642 1. Since the last previous area zoning or shoreline environment designation of 643 the subject property, authorized public improvements, permitted private development or 644 645 other conditions or circumstances affecting the subject property have undergone substantial and material change not anticipated or contemplated in ((the community)) a 646

2. The impacts from the changed conditions or circumstances affect the subject property in a manner and to a degree different than other properties in the vicinity such that area rezoning or redesignation is not appropriate. For the purposes of this subsection, "changed conditions or circumstances" does not include actions taken by the current or former property owners to facilitate a more intense development of the

property including but not limited to changing tax limitations, adjusting property lines,
extending services, or changing property ownership; ((and))

3. For proposals to increase rural residential density, that the proposal meets the

- 3. For proposals to increase rural residential density, that the proposal meets the criteria in Comprehensive Plan policies R-205 through R-209;
- 4. For proposals to increase urban residential density, that the proposal meets the criteria in Comprehensive Plan policies U-118 through U-123; and
- <u>5.</u> The requested reclassification or redesignation is ((required)) in the public interest.

SECTION 13. Ordinance 9785, Section 16, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.24.197 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Additional examiner findings and recommendations - school capacities.

Whenever the examiner in the course of conducting hearings or reviewing preliminary plat applications ((or actualization of potential multi-family zoning,)) receives documentation that the public schools in the district where the development is proposed would not meet the standards set out in K.C.C. 21A.28.160 if the development were approved, the examiner shall remand to the department of development and environmental services to require or recommend phasing or provision of the needed facilities and sites as appropriate to address the deficiency, or deny the proposal if required by ((the provisions of)) this chapter. The examiner shall prepare findings to document the facts ((which)) that support the action taken. The examiner shall recommend such phasing as may be necessary to coordinate the development of the housing with the provision of sufficient school facilities, or ((in the alternative)) shall require the provision of the needed facilities. An offer of payment of a school impact fee

Ordinance 14047

676	as required by ordinance shall not be a substitute for ((such)) the phasing, but the fee is
677	still assessable. The examiner shall recommend a payment schedule for the fee to
678	coordinate the payment with the phasing of an impact mitigation fee if ((such)) the
679	provision or payment is satisfactory to the district. The examiner must determine
680	independently that the conditions of approval and assessable fees will provide for
681	adequate schools.
682	SECTION 14. Ordinance 11620, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.458
683	are each hereby repealed.
684	SECTION 15. Severability. If any provision of this ordinance or its application
685	to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the

application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NOTE: This ordinance was passed on the 2/12/01 agenda although the final vote was not taken until 2/20/01.

Ordinance 14047 was introduced on 3/6/00 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 2/12/01, by the following vote:

Yes: 11 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Miller, Ms. Fimia, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Mr. McKenna, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Nickels, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Vance and Mr. Irons

No: 1 - Mr. Pullen

Excused: 1 - Ms. Hague

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASKINGTON

Pete von Reichbauer, Chair

ATTEST:

Eunensis

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 2 day of Morch , 200 (

Ron Sims, County Executive

Attachments None